

MIT Bitcoin Expo, March 9, 2019

Secure Signatures: Harder Than You Think

Andrew Poelstra

Director of Research, Blockstream

$$P = xG$$

$$R = kG$$

$$e = H(P, R, m)$$

$$s = k + ex$$

(s, R) is the signature.

$$P = xG$$

$$R = kG$$

$$e = H(P, R, m)$$

$$sG = kG + exG$$

(s, R) is the signature.

Secure Signatures

What makes a signature “secure”?

- If nobody (i.e. no probabilistic poly-time algorithm) can extract the secret key from signatures?
- If nobody can sign a given message without the secret key?
- If nobody can sign *any* message?
- What if they're allowed to request signatures on other messages?
 - The same message?
- What if they can change the key? Choose it freely?

Secure Signatures

- Also, does k *really* have to be uniformly random?
- Yes. But we can get away with setting $k = H(x||m)$. Why?
- How about x ?

$$P = xG$$

$$R^0 = kG$$

$$R = R^0 + H(R^0 \| c)G$$

$$e = H(P, R, m)$$

$$s = (k + H(R^0 \| c))G + ex$$

$$P = xG$$

$$R^0 = kG$$

$$R = R^0 + H(R^0 \| c)G$$

$$e = H(P, R, m)$$

$$sG = (k + H(R^0 \| c))G + exG$$

Sign-to-Contract Replay Attack

Suppose $k = H(x||m)$.

$$s = (k + H(R^0||c)) + ex$$

$$- s = (k + H(R^0||c')) + e'x$$

$$0 = H(R^0||c) - H(R^0||c') + (e - e')x$$

So we'd better have $k = H(x||m||c)$!

Sign-to-Contract as an Anti-Nonce-Sidechannel Measure

- If the hardware device knows c before producing R^0 it can grind k so that $(k + H(R^0 \| c))$ has detectable bias.
- If it doesn't know c how can it prevent replay attacks?
- Send hardware device $H(c)$ and receive R^0 before giving it c .
- Then $k = H(x \| m \| H(c))$.

$$P_i = x_i G$$

$$P = \sum P_i$$

$$R_i = k_i G$$

(exchange R_i 's)

$$R = \sum R_i$$

$$e = H(P, R, m)$$

$$s_i = k_i + ex_i$$

(exchange s_i 's)

$$s = \sum k_i + \sum ex_i$$

$$P_i = x_i G$$

$$P = \sum P_i$$

$$R_i = k_i G$$

(exchange R_i 's)

$$R = \sum R_i$$

$$e = H(P, R, m)$$

$$s_i G = k_i G + e x_i G$$

(exchange s_i 's)

$$sG = \sum k_i G + \sum e x_i G$$

What does it mean for a *multisignature* to be secure?

- Now the attacker can be a signer? Freely choose the key?
- How about *all* the signers? All but one?
- Start multiple signing sessions in parallel?

Multisignatures

- In fact the just-described scheme is insecure in multiple ways.
- Rogue-key attacks; if $P = \sum P_i$ then a bad signer can choose the whole key.
- So set $P = \sum \mu_i P_i$ where μ_i is “random”. (Hash P_i ? Or *all* the P_i 's?)
- Parallel attack: grind R 's until you get a lot of e 's that sum to each other.
- So add an extra round where everyone precommits to R_i , preventing any individual from grinding R .

Thank you.

Andrew Poelstra
clauspschnorr@wpsoftware.net